Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/944,410	HARADA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Allan Olsen	1763
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Allan Olsen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Juan Marquez</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 April 2004	Time: <u>1600 EST</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  1, 5 and 6  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writh record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Ma-Ober		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called Applicant's representative on April 15, 2004 to propose that a limitation pertaining to the creation of a tapered coating be added to the independent claims. After conferring with the Applicant, Mr. Marquez provided the examiner with language for the attached Examiner's amendment that addresses the formation of a tapered coating. Furthermore, the examiner noted that claim 5 was withdrawn as being directed to a nonelected invention. The examiner indicated his intent to cancel claim 5 as the election was made without traverse. Mr. Marquez agreed to the cancellation of claim 5...